Few-Body Systems manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

A. Kievsky and M. Gattobigio

Efimov physics with $1/2$ spin-isospin fermions

arXiv:1511.09184v1 [nucl-th] 30 Nov 2015

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract The structure of few-fermion systems having $1/2$ spin-isospin symmetry is studied using potential models. The strength and range of the two-body potentials are fixed to describe low energy observables in the angular momentum $L = 0$ state and spin $S = 0, 1$ channels of the two-body system. Successively the strength of the potentials are varied in order to explore energy regions in which the two-body scattering lengths are close to the unitary limit. This study is motivated by the fact that in the nuclear system the singlet and triplet scattering lengths are both large with respect to the range of the interaction. Accordingly we expect evidence of universal behavior in the three- and four-nucleon systems that can be observed from the study of correlations between observables. In particular we concentrate in the behavior of the first excited state of the three-nucleon system as the system moves away from the unitary limit. We also analyze the dependence on the range of the three-body force of some low-energy observables in the three- and four-nucleon systems.

Keywords Few-Body Physics · Universality · Efimov Physics

1 Introduction

The study of few-body systems in the limit of large two-body scattering length a is an intense subject of research nowadays. In this limit the systems show universal behavior largely independent of the range and characteristic of the particular interaction. Research on universal behavior in few-body systems has been triggered by V. Efimov in a series of papers [1; 2]. He demonstrated that in the unitary limit, $1/a = 0$, the three-boson system has an infinite number of excited states that accumulate to zero following a geometrical series. As the scattering length moves from the unitary limit to finite values, the infinite energy levels become finite tending to the natural distribution of levels observed in most real systems. The very particular energy spectrum of a three-boson system at the unitary limit is called Efimov effect and the study of the dynamics of such a system close to the unitary limit is called Efimov physics (for a recent review see Ref. [3]).

The theory developed by Efimov is a zero-range theory (scaling limit). In this limit the two-body energy is $E_2 = \hbar^2/ma^2$ whereas in real systems the energy scattering length a_B defined from the two-body energy as $E_2 = \hbar^2/ma_B^2$ could be very different from a. Efimov physics describes systems in which a and a_B are of the same order, both being very large with respect to the range of the interaction r_0. To this respect a lot of efforts have been given to incorporate range corrections in the zero-range theory

A. Kievsky
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Largo Pontecorvo 3, 56100 Pisa, Italy
E-mail: alejandro.kievsky@pi.infn.it

M. Gattobigio
Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Institut Non-Linéaire de Nice, CNRS
1361 route des Lucioles, 06560 Valbonne, France
E-mail: mario.gattobigio@inln.cnrs.fr

(see for example Refs. [4; 5]). In fact, experiments devoted to study Efimov physics [6; 7; 8; 9] show some discrepancies when the results are compared to the zero-range theory. In order to clarify in which form the range corrections can be taken into account we recall the Efimov radial law which describes the three-boson energy spectrum as a function of the two-body scattering length a. In parametric form it can be expressed as,

$$\begin{aligned} E_3^n/(\hbar^2/ma^2) &= \tan^2\xi \\ \kappa_* a &= \mathrm{e}^{(n-n^*)\pi/s_0}\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta(\xi)/2s_0}}{\cos\xi}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where κ_* is the three-body parameter that fixes the energy of the three-boson system, $\hbar^2\kappa_*^2/m$, for the level $n = n^*$, at the unitary limit. In the above equation a is the control parameter and, once the value of the three-body parameter is fixed, a complete knowledge of the spectrum requires the knowledge of the universal function $\Delta(\xi)$. The above equation shows a discrete scale invariance (DSI), the energy ratio of two consecutive states is $E_3^n/E_3^{n+1} = e^{2\pi/s_0} \approx 515.03$, with $s_0 \approx 1.00624$ a universal number, at fixed values of the angle ξ. This property is a consequence of the fact that the universal function $\Delta(\xi)$ is the same for all levels. However it should be pointed out that the spectrum given by Eq.(1) is unbounded from below, this is known as the Thomas collapse [10]. In order to compute $\Delta(\xi)$ some regularization has to be introduced. In practice the Skorniakov-Ter-Martirosian (STM) equation has been solved with some cutoff and $\Delta(\xi)$ has been obtained analyzing the energy spectrum of the first excited states minimizing the cutoff effects [11]. A parametrization of the universal function can be found in Ref. [3].

Instead of solving the STM with a cutoff, the three-boson system close to the unitary limit can be studied using potential models. This has been done by the authors in a series of papers [5; 12; 13; 14] in which a Gaussian potential with variable strength has been used. The conclusion was that the Efimov radial law can be modified as

$$E_3^n/E_2 = \tan^2\xi \tag{2a}$$

$$\kappa_n a_B + \Gamma_n = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta(\xi)/2s_0}}{\cos\xi}\,. \tag{2b}$$

where the energy scattering length a_B is defined as $E_2 = \hbar^2/ma_B^2$. For positive values of a E_2 is the two-body binding energy whereas for negative values of a E_2 is the energy of the two-body virtual state. Moreover κ_n defines the energy of level n at the unitary limit, $\hbar^2\kappa_n^2/m$. Besides the modification at the two-body level, a finite-range parameter, Γ_n, has been introduced as a shift in the control quantity $\kappa_n a_B$. This form of the radial law has been justified in the context of effective field theory introducing an Efimov running parameter [15]. In a recent discussion the authors have shown that the ground and first excited state shifts, Γ_0 and Γ_1, have an almost constant behavior close to the unitary limit [16].

The success of using Eq.(2) to describe the ground and first excited states of three bosons suggests the possibility of extending the analysis to systems having spin-isospin symmetry as the three-nucleon system. As it is well known, this system has a large probability of being in the symmetric $L = 0$ state. Moreover the singlet and triplet np scattering lengths, a^0 and a^1, are both large with respect to the range of the nuclear interaction. Accordingly in the present work we make a detailed analysis of the Efimov physics for three 1/2 spin-isospin fermions around the unitary limit. Preliminary studies on this subject can be find for example in Ref. [17]. Our analysis is based on the solution of the Schrödinger equation using a potential model constructed to describe some low energy observables in the two-nucleon system. To this aim we use a spin dependent Gaussian potential with the ranges and strengths fixed to describe the scattering lengths and some other quantities as the effective ranges in singlet and triplet state and the deuteron binding energy. After this construction, the exploration of the physics close to the unitary limit is done by the variation of the Gaussian strengths in both channels at constant range values. This will be done for the two- and three-fermion systems. When the values of the scattering lengths are equal to the experimental values, the analysis can be used to compare the quality of this description for the low-energy observables to the experimental data as the triton binding energy and the low energy nd phases or, extending the analysis to the four-body system, the predictions on the α particle binding energy. As we will see the inclusion of a three-body force allows for a quantitative description of the low energy observables.

The paper is organized as follow. In section 2 the two-body system is discussed. The Gaussian potentials in the two spin channels are introduced and the parameters used to fit the strengths and ranges are indicated. The behavior close to the unitary limit is analyzed. In section 3 the three-fermion system is studied along planes at fixed values of the ratio of the singlet and triplet scattering lengths. The energy spectrum has been computed and the behavior of the first excited state has been followed as the scattering length values move away from the unitary limit. In section 4 we introduce the three-body force an analyze its dependence on specific observables as the doublet $n-d$ scattering length and the ^{4}He ground state. In section 5 we discuss the results and perspectives of the present study.

2 Two 1/2 spin-isospin fermions close to the unitary limit

In the following we consider a system of identical fermions having 1/2 spin-isospin symmetry. Having in mind the nuclear system, we consider that the fermions can interact differently in the spatially symmetric $L=0$ state with spin-isospin $S=0, T=1$ or $S=1, T=0$. These two states can be therefore characterized by two different scattering lengths in the singlet state, a^0, and in the triplet state, a^1. The case we are interested here is the case in which both lengths are large with respect to the range of the two-fermion interaction. In the case in which one of the scattering lengths is positive the two-body system supports a shallow bound state whereas for negative values the two-body system has a shallow virtual state. The energy of the bound or virtual state characterizes the state too. Therefore there are two quantities, the energy of the shallow state and the scattering length characterizing the two-fermion system in each of the two spin states. We can use this minimal information to construct a spin dependent two-parameter potential to describe the two-fermion system in the $L=0$ state. Then this description can be extended to describe the three- and four-fermion systems.

Following previous works in identical boson systems we consider a Gaussian potential of the form

$$V(r) = V_S \mathrm{e}^{-r^2/r_S^2}\,, \tag{3}$$

in which the strength V_S and the range r_S can depend on the spin S of the state. In the case of the $n-p$ system, the experimental data characterizing the spin-isospin $S=1, T=0$ state are the deuteron energy of -2.225 MeV and the triplet scattering length $a^1 = 5.419 \pm 0.007$ fm. In the case of the singlet state the scattering length results $a^0 = -23.740 \pm 0.020$ fm. These quantities can be supplemented by the effective range in each spin state, r^S_{eff} determined from the low-energy $n-p$ scattering data. Their values are $r^0_{eff} = 2.77 \pm 0.05$ fm and $r^1_{eff} = 1.753 \pm 0.008$ fm respectively for $S=0,1$ (for the latest experimental values of these quantities see Ref. [18] and references therein).

In order to see the capability of the Gaussian potential defined in Eq.(3) to reproduce the experimental quantities, in Table 1 we report the corresponding estimates for selected values of V_S and r_S.

V_0[MeV]	r_0[fm]	a^0[fm]	r^0_{eff}[fm]	V_1[MeV]	r_1[fm]	a^1[fm]	r^1_{eff}[fm]	E_2[MeV]
−53.255	1.40	−23.741	2.094	−79.600	1.40	5.309	1.622	-2.225
−42.028	1.57	−23.745	2.360	−65.740	1.57	5.425	1.776	-2.225
−40.413	1.60	−23.745	2.407	−63.712	1.60	5.447	1.802	-2.225
−37.900	1.65	−23.601	2.487	−60.575	1.65	5.482	1.846	-2.225
−33.559	1.75	−23.745	2.644	−55.036	1.75	5.548	1.930	-2.225
−30.932	1.82	−23.746	2.756					

Table 1 Scattering length a^S and effective range r^S_{eff} for selected values of the strength V_S and range r_S of the Gaussian potential in states with spin $S=0,1$. For the triplet state the two-body binding energy, E_2, is also shown.

From the table we can observe a good description of the experimental values with the best description obtained using different ranges in the singlet and triplet states. For example, the parameters on the last row for $S=0$ and in the second row for $S=1$ give a very good description of the experimental results. The parameters on the fourth row give the best description considering the same range in both spin states. In the following we make an analysis of the two-fermion system close to the unitary limit using the same range, $r_0 = r_1 = 1.65$ fm, for the singlet and triplet states.

In order to explore the dynamics of the system close to the unitary limit we allow variations in the strength of the Gaussian potential covering values of a^S that verify $a^S > r_0$, eventually the unitary limit, $1/a^S = 0$, can be reached. The variation of the potential strength defines a particular path to connect the physical points to the unitary limit. Along this path the scattering length a_S and the energy scattering length a_B^S are related by the effective range expansion

$$\frac{1}{a_B^S} \approx \frac{1}{a^S} + \frac{r_{eff}^S}{2(a_B^S)^2}\,. \tag{4}$$

The above relation is approximate since higher order terms are present as the two-body energy increases. However it is very well fulfilled close to the unitary limit. Moreover it reflects the fact that in the zero-range limit (scaling limit) the scattering length and the energy scattering length are equal. Eq.(4) can be put in the form

$$r_{eff}^S a^S = 2a_B^S r_B^S \tag{5}$$

where we have introduced the length $r_B^S = a^S - a_B^S$. The quality of the above relation can be checked for example at the physical points using the values given in Table 1. We consider the $r_0 = r_1 = 1.65$ fm case and therefore, for $S = 0$ taking the Gaussian strength $V_0 = -37.90$ MeV, the energy of the virtual state results $E_2 = -0.0675$ MeV and the above relation is verified at the level of 0.2%. For the $S = 1$ the relation is verified at the level of 0.6%. Moreover, it can be observed that in this two cases the length r_B^S remains almost constant; the values are $r_B^0 = 1.183$ fm in the first case and $r_B^1 = 1.165$ fm in the second case. To analyze further this fact we can cast Eq.(4) in the generic form

$$\frac{r_{eff}}{2r_B} = 1 - \frac{r_B}{a} + \mathcal{O}(1/a^2)\,, \tag{6}$$

where we have dropped the superscript S and we have explicitly stated that there are quadratic corrections to the formula. If the length r_B were constant we could replace it by its value at the unitary limit, $r_u/2$ with r_u the effective range at this limit. In this case Eq.(6) expresses the following universal relation

$$\frac{r_{eff}}{r_u} = 1 - 0.5\frac{r_u}{a} \tag{7}$$

that can be studied using the Gaussian potential. This is done in Fig. 1 in which the quantities r_{eff}/r_u and $2r_B/r_u$ are plotted as a function of r_u/a for positive and negative values. The points corresponding to the virtual state and deuteron state are explicitly shown. From the figure we can see that the results using the Gaussian potential with variable strength (given by the green solid circles) connects linearly these two points going through the unitary limit. The solid (green) line is a fit of the results using Eq.(7). Along this path the length r_B is almost constant as is given by the (red) solid points. The (red) dashed line is a fit to the numerical results using a linear plus a very small quadratic r_u/a term. In order to see the quality of the description of the Gaussian potential, we have analyzed a more realistic potential constructed to describe the two-nucleon system in the low energy region, the MTIII potential [19]. This interaction consists in a sum of two yukawians and it was used many times in the literature to describe few-nucleon systems. Here we have varied the strength of the interaction in order to cover the same range of scattering length values analyzed with the Gaussian potential. The results in this case, shown in the figure as (blue) squares, are very close to the Gaussian results. The solid (blue) line is a fit to the results using the form given in Eq.(7). We can conclude that close to the unitary limit a two-parameter potential, as the Gaussian interaction, contains the essential ingredients to describe the two-body dynamics.

3 Three 1/2 spin-isospin fermions close to the unitary limit

The Gaussian potential with variable strength in the two spin channels, $S = 0, 1$, can be used to study the three-fermion spectrum. As in the case of bosons we can study the energy of the system as a function of the (inverse) of the scattering lengths in order to construct the Efimov plot. It should be noticed that in the present case there are two scattering lengths and so, the usual two-dimensional plot, which represents the three-body energy as a function of the inverse of the scattering lengths, becomes three-dimensional. Among different possibilities it is possible to define planes for fixed values



Fig. 1 (color online). The effective range $r_{\rm eff}$ and the length $2r_B$ as a function of the inverse of the scattering length (in units of r_u) for the Gaussian potential. The deuteron and virtual state points are shown. For the sake of comparison results obtained with a yukawian potential are given too.

of the ratio a^0/a^1 and to study the energy of the system along the planes defined by those ratios. This means that varying the $S = 1$ Gaussian strength in order to have a large a^1 value, the $S = 0$ Gaussian strength will be varied accordingly to reproduce the selected ratio.

Example of planes at fixed values of the a^0/a^1 ratio are shown in Fig. 2. As mentioned in the previous section, the present study is done with Gaussian of equal range. In this case, when the two scattering lengths are equal, the plane corresponds to the bosonic case as it is indicated in the figure with the (red) solid line. Another important case is when the Gaussians have the strengths defined in the fourth row of Table 1, in this case $a^0/a^1 = -4.31$ defining a plane in which the nuclear physics point is included. We define this plane the nuclear physics plane and it is shown in the figure with a (blue) solid line located in the second quadrant. Other special cases are shown in the figure as well. In the figure some symmetries can be identified. When the potentials in the two spin channels are exchanged the energy of the system does not change and the spectrum is the same. Accordingly there is a reflection symmetry along the boson plane. In the case of the second and fourth quadrant there is a reflection symmetry along the $a^0/a^1 = -1$ plane. These symmetries reduced the sectors where studying the three-fermion spectrum.

Using the Gaussian spin dependent potentials with strength parameters fixed to give scattering length values along the planes discussed above, we study the $L = 0$ three-fermion spectrum in the $J^\pi = 1/2^+$ state. To this aim we solve the Schrödinger equation for different values of the Gaussian potential strengths in order to cover a wide range of scattering length values. In this particular study we have fixed $r_0 = r_1 = 1.65$ fm. The results, following planes as those defined in Fig. 2, are



Fig. 2 (color online). Different planes are shown for specific values of the singlet and triplet scattering lengths a_0 and a_1.

collected in Fig. 3 for four selected cases. Positive values of the triplet energy scattering length a_B^1 have been considered. In the figure the binding momentum, K_3, defined from the three-body energy $E_3 = \hbar^2 K_3^2/m$, is shown for the ground and first excited state as a function of a_B^1 (in units of r_u). The ground state energy at the unitary limit, $\hbar^2\kappa_0^2/m = 3.62$ MeV, is the same in all cases; it corresponds to tune both scattering lengths at the unitary limit, $1/a^0 = 1/a^1 = 0$. The binding momentum is given in units of κ_0. In each panel the ratio a^0/a^1 has been kept constant. Panel (a) and (b) correspond to $a^0/a^1 = 1$ and 2 respectively whereas in panel (c) the Gaussian strength in the singlet state is kept fixed at the unitary limit, therefore $1/a^0 = 0$ and $a^0/a^1 = \infty$. Finally in panel (d) we consider the ratio $a^0/a^1 = -4.31$ containing the physical point. In the four panels the thin (blue) line corresponds to the two-body binding energy whereas the thick (black) and (red) lines correspond to the ground state and first excited state energy, E_3^0 and E_3^1, respectively.

At the unitary limit the spectrum shows the Efimov effect, a geometrical series of excited states appear. Due to the very different scales of such states, in Fig. 3 only the ground and first excited states are shown. In the zero-range limit the geometrical factor defining the ratio between two consecutive energy state is ≈ 515.03 for all the states due to the DSI. Using the Gaussian potential the ratio between the ground and first excited state at the unitary limit is ≈ 528 showing some range corrections. For the Gaussian range considered of 1.65 fm the excited state energy at the unitary limit is $E_3^1 = 0.00685$ MeV. As a_B^1 moves away from the unitary limit towards lower (positive) values, the higher excited states disappear into the nucleon-deuteron threshold. It is possible that for specific values of a_B^1 also the first excited state E_3^1 disappear into the two-body continuum. This happens for the cases shown in panels (b), (c) and (d) at the point indicated by the (red) solid circle whereas in panel (a), describing a

three-boson system, E_3^1 is always below the two-body threshold given by E_2. The vertical dashed lines in the panels correspond to the deuteron binding energy and the (black) solid circle indicates the ground state energy of the three-fermion system at that point. The values are $E_3^0 = -21.05$ MeV,-15.12 MeV, -11.44 MeV and -10.22 MeV for panels (a), (b), (c) and (d) respectively.

In panel (d) the ratio of the scattering lengths corresponds approximately to the experimental ratio. Therefore the three-body energy $E_3^0 = -10.22$ MeV, calculated at the value of $a_B^1 \approx 4.32$ fm can be considered an estimate of the ^{3}H energy. However, from the study in boson systems, it is well known that in order to correctly describe the three-body energy with the minimal information introduced in the two-body potentials, a three-body force has to be included [5; 12; 20]. In the present case we observe an overbinding of the ^{3}H binding energy compared to the experimental value of -8.48 MeV, which is usual in this type of description. Accordingly a repulsive three-body force is needed for a quantitative description of the three-nucleon system. The discussion of the system including a three-nucleon force is given in the next section. Another important conclusion of the results shown in Fig. 3 is the behavior of the first excited state energy E_3^1. For the boson system, given in panel (a), a two level system is observed at all values of a_B^1. In the other planes with bigger values of the ratio a^0/a^1 this state disappear into the two-body continuum at certain values of a^1. In particular, in panel (d) it is not present at the physical value of a_B^1, in agreement with the experimental situation. This study agrees with previous analyses on the first excited state and its behavior as a virtual state as it moves into the two-body continuum [21; 22].

The three-fermion energy spectrum can be analyzed using Eq.(2). The second row of the equation can be written as

$$\kappa_n a_B^1 + \Gamma_n = y(\xi) \tag{8}$$

emphasizing the linear relation between the dimensionless variable $\kappa_n a_B^1$ and the universal function $y(\xi) = e^{-\Delta(\xi)/2s_0}/\cos\xi$ for each n-level. From the computed values of the energy E_3^n it is possible to evaluate the angle ξ using Eq.(2a) and, accordingly, the specific value of the function $y(\xi)$. Plotting this function as a function of the variable $\kappa_n a_B^1$ a series of (almost) straight lines with slope one has to be obtained, from which the shift Γ_n can be extracted. It should be noticed that the shift is not strictly constant but has a dominant constant term in the expansion in terms of $\kappa_n a_B^1$. Explicitly the shift can be expanded as

$$\Gamma_n = \Gamma_n^0 + \frac{\Gamma_n^1}{\kappa_n a_B^1} + \dots \tag{9}$$

Close to the unitary limit the shift is dominated by the constant zero-order term Γ_n^0. In order to evaluate the quality of the above parametrization in the analysis of the results, in Fig 4 (left panel) the function $y(\xi)$ is shown for the ground state energy in the four cases under consideration, in terms of $\kappa_0 a_B^1$. The linear behavior proposed in Eq.(8) is well verified. In the right panel the values of the zero-order term of the expansion, Γ_0^0, is given as a function of the ratio a^1/a^0. It can be observed that Γ_0^0 has an almost linear behavior in terms of the ratio a^1/a^0. In Fig. 5 the same analysis is done for the excited state E_3^1 with similar conclusions. In addition, in the left panel of the figure the two-body threshold is shown as a dashed line. It is possible to see the three cases already mentioned in which the excited state disappear into the two-body continuum. In conclusion the three-fermion spectrum can be described by Eq.(2) with a shift depending almost linearly with the ratio a^1/a^0.

4 Hypercentral three-body force

In this section we discuss the three- and four-fermion systems including two-body and three-body forces. As in Refs. [5; 12] we propose the following two-parameter hypercentral three-body force

$$W(\rho) = W_0 e^{-\rho^2/\rho_0^2} \,, \tag{10}$$

where ρ is the three-body hyperradius $\rho = 2/3(r_{12}^2 + r_{23}^2 + r_{31}^2)$ and r_{ij} are the interparticle distances. The three-body force proposed is one of the simplest ones and, with a proper selection of the parameters W_0 and ρ_0, we expect an improvement in the description of the low-energy behavior of the three- and four-fermion systems. We make this analysis at the physical point in which a^0 and a^1 are close to the experimental values and we fix the two parameters of the three-body force in order to describe



Fig. 3 (color online). The binding momentum K_3 (in units of κ_0) for the ground state (black) thick line and first excited state (red) thick line as a function of the inverse of triplet scattering length a^1 (in units of r_u). The (blue) thin line is the two-body binding momentum. The singlet scattering length a^0 is fixed by the ratio $a^0/a^1 = 1$ (a), $a^0/a^1 = 2$ (b), $a^0/a^1 = \infty$ (c) and $a^0/a^1 = -4.31$ (d). The solid (red) circle indicates the position of the excited state at the two-body threshold. The vertical dashed line indicated the physical point and the solid (black) circle the value of the ground state binding momentum at that point.

the triton binding energy and the doublet $n-d$ scattering length ${}^2a_{nd}$. To this aim, we solve the Schrödinger equation for three-fermions with total angular momentum $L = 0$ and $J^\pi = 1/2^+$ using the Gaussian potentials with the parameters given in the first four rows of Table 1 corresponding to $r_0 = r_1 = 1.40, 1.57, 1.60, 1.65$ fm. In addition we consider one case in which the singlet state parameters are given in the last row of the table ($V_0 = -30.932$ MeV and $r_0 = 1.82$ fm) and, for the triplet state, in the second row ($V_0 = -65.74$ MeV and $r_0 = 1.57$ fm). This last case, with different ranges in the two spin states, is analyzed in order to make the optimum choice in each spin channel. The results, including the hypercentral three-body force, are given in Fig. 6 for ${}^2a_{nd}$ as a function of ρ_0. At each point of the graph, the strength of the three-body force, W_0, has been fixed to reproduce the triton binding energy of -8.48 MeV. From the figure we can see two different regions, a short-range region and a long-range region, in which the experimental value ${}^2a_{nd} \approx 0.65$ fm (given by the horizontal thick black solid line) is reproduced for the different set of Gaussian potentials.

In order to analyze further these two regions, we calculated the alpha particle ground energy E_4^0, for the different combinations of Gaussian potentials and three-body forces. The results are collected



Fig. 4 (color online). Left panel: the function $y(\xi)$ as a function of the dimensionless quantity $\kappa_0 a_B^1$ for the four different ratios: $a^0/a_1 = 1$ (black dots), $a^0/a_1 = 2$ (blue triangles), $a^0/a_1 = \infty$ (red squares) and $a^0/a_1 = -4.31$ (green circles). Right panel: the constant term I_0^0 as a function of the ratio a^1/a^0 (black dots). The dashed line is a linear fit to the points.

in Table 2. In the upper part of the table the four-body energies corresponding to the short-range three-body force are given whereas in the lower part the energies corresponding to long-range three-body force are shown. The experimental ^{4}He ground state energy of -28.3 MeV is better describe by the short-range set of parameters (the case with $r_0 = r_1 = 1.4$ fm is an exception). In particular the optimum choice, given by the parameters in the fourth row of the table, produces a result very close to the experimental value.

The spin-dependent two-body Gaussian potential plus the hypercentral force can be consider a potential model that can be used to describe the low-energy $L = 0$ states in the two-, three- and four-fermion system in which the spatial-symmetric state is dominant. In the particular case of the optimum choice, this potential model describes simultaneously the ^{3}H and ^{4}He binding energies and the doublet $n-d$ scattering length $^2a_{nd}$. Many realistic NN potentials including three-nucleon interactions cannot describe simultaneously these three quantities [23]. Only recently, in the context of the chiral effective field theory, three-body forces at next-to-next leading order (N2LO) can be used to describe the ^{3}H binding energy and $^2a_{nd}$ tuning the c_D and c_E constants of the (regularized) three-body contact terms [24]. The accuracy of the description for the three quantities using realistic potential models is similar to the accuracy achieved here. A key element in the present analysis was the study of the observables in terms of the range of the three-body force, similar analyses using realistic potential models are at present underway [25].

V_0[MeV]	r_0[fm]	V_1[MeV]	r_1[fm]	W_0[MeV]	ρ_0[fm]	E_4^0
−53.255	1.40	−79.600	1.40	215.397	1.00	−23.7
−42.028	1.57	−65.750	1.57	532.046	0.80	−26.9
−40.413	1.60	−63.712	1.60	2979.09	0.60	−27.3
−30.932	1.82	−65.750	1.57	17.265	1.60	−28.4
−53.255	1.40	−79.600	1.40	6.1172	4.64	−27.5
−42.028	1.57	−65.750	1.57	3.7708	4.87	−30.4
−40.413	1.60	−63.712	1.60	3.3898	4.93	−30.4
−37.900	1.65	−60.575	1.65	2.7947	5.05	−30.3
−30.932	1.82	−65.750	1.57	2.1216	4.75	−30.7

Table 2 The four-body ground state energy for different set of two-body and three-body forces.

5 Conclusions

In the present work we have studied two-, three- and four-fermion systems with 1/2 spin-isospin symmetry. The study focussed on the dynamics of the $L = 0$ states close to the unitary limit in which a universal behavior is expected. For 1/2 spin-isospin fermions, the $L = 0$ state has a large spatially symmetric component making this system similar to the bosonic system. In the latter the two-body system is characterized by the scattering length a whereas, in the fermion case the system, is characterized by two scattering lengths, a_0 and a_1, in the two spin states $S = 0, 1$. For large values of these quantities the spectrum of the system has been calculated using a potential model. We have



Fig. 5 (color online). Left panel: the function $y(\xi)$ as a function of the dimensionless quantity $\kappa_1 a_B^1$ for the four different ratios: $a^0/a_1 = 1$ (black dots), $a^0/a_1 = 2$ (blue triangles), $a^0/a_1 = \infty$ (red squares) and $a^0/a_1 = -4.31$ (green circles). The horizontal dashed line indicates the position of the two-body threshold. Right panel: the constant term Γ_1^0 as a function of the ratio a^1/a^0 (black dots).The dashed line is a linear fit to the points.



Fig. 6 (color online). The doublet scattering length ${}^2a_{nd}$ as a function of the range ρ_0 of the three-body force for different combinations of the two-body potentials. The horizontal thick (black) line indicated the experimental value of ${}^2a_{nd}$.

selected a Gaussian form for the two-body potential. Having in mind the nuclear system, in a first step, the range and strength of the potential in each spin channel have been fixed to reproduce a_0 and a_1, the deuteron energy and effective range parameters. In a second step the strength of the potentials has been varied to explore the dynamics close to the unitary limit. To organize the study the spectrum, the variation of the Gaussian strengths have not been done independently but along lines where the ratio a_0/a_1 has been kept fixed.

In the two-body system potentials with variable strength define a particular path to reach the unitary limit. Along this path we have shown that the length $r_B = a - a_B$ remains almost constant. Furthermore we have derived a universal relation for the effective range that connects linearly the regions with positive and negatives values of a. This relation, well verified by the Gaussian potential is also verified by a much realistic potential consisting in a sum of two Yukawians. We can conclude that a two-parameter potential has the essential ingredients to describe the dynamics close to the unitary limit. We extend the analysis to calculate the three-fermion spectrum for selected values of the ratio a_0/a_1. In this study we used Gaussians of equal range, accordingly the case $a_0/a_1 = 1$ represents the bosonic case. We have analyzed other three cases and, in particular $a_0/a_1 = -4.31$ which corresponds to the nuclear physics case. Two important conclusions can be extracted. In first place the energy spectrum can be described with the modified radial low of Eq.(2) in which the shift, Γ_n, depends on the ratio a_0/a_1. For the ground state, the dominant constant term Γ_0^0 varies almost linearly from 0.87 at $a_0/a_1 = 1$ to -0.23 at $a_0/a_1 = -4.31$. A second result regards the first excited state. The zero-range theory for bosons, given by Eq.(1), predicts that the energy states are absorbed into the

two-body continuum at specific values of a. The description using potential models shows that this is the case for the higher excited states. However the ground and first excited state remains always below the two-body energy as the values of a increases. This situation changes in the case of fermions, as the ratio a_0/a_1 increases making the singlet potential weaker than the triplet one, the first excited state is absorbed into the two-body continuum. In particular, at the physical point, $a_0/a_1 = -4.31$ and $a_1 \approx 5.4$ fm there is only one bound state in the spectrum in agreement with the experimental observation.

In the last part of the study we have included an hypercentral two-parameter three-body force in order to study correlations between the low energy observables in the three- and four-fermion system. The correlation between the triton binding energy and the doublet scattering length is the Phillips line [26]. Here we have shown that in order to describe both quantities simultaneously a detailed analysis of their dependence on the range of the hypercentral three-body force was needed. Two well separated regions, one short-range and one long-range, were observed both giving a good description of the observables. In order to analyze further the impact of these two regions in the description of the observables, the four-body ground state energy was calculated. The conclusion was that the short-range three-body force gives a better description of the ^{4}He ground state energy allowing for a simultaneous description of the three quantities. In particular the optimum choice, with different ranges in the Gaussian two-body potentials, describes the three observables with reasonable accuracy.

From this study we have observed that the three and four-nucleon systems are inside the Efimov window in which the structure of the system is governed by a few control parameters as the two-body energies and scattering lengths. Introducing this minimal information in the construction of the potentials, the correlations in the low-energy observables can be properly described. This happens for the $L = 0$ state which is mostly spatially symmetric. For $A > 4$ the symmetry of the spatial part changes and the present analysis has to be modified accordingly. Studies along this line are at present underway.

References

1. V. Efimov, Phys. Lett. B **33** (1970), 563
2. V. Efimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **12** (1971), 589 [Yad. Fiz. 12, 10801090 (1970)]
3. E. Braaten and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rep. **428** (2006), 259
4. L. Platter, C. Ji, and D. R. Phillips, Phys. Rev. A**79**, 022702 (2009)
5. M. Gattobigio and A. Kievsky, Phys. Rev. **A90**, 012502 (2014)
6. F. Ferlaino et al., Few-Body Syst. **51**, 113 (2011)
7. O. Machtey, Z. Shotan, N. Gross, and L. Khaykovich, Phys. Rev. Lett.**1**08, 210406 (2012)
8. S. Roy, M. Landini, A. Trenkwalder, G. Semeghini, G. Spagnolli, A. Simoni, M. Fattori, M. Inguscio, and G. Modugno, Phys. Rev. Lett.**1**11, 053202 (2013)
9. P. Dyke, S. E. Pollack, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. A**8**8, 023625 (2013)
10. L.H. Thomas, Phys. Rev.**4**7, 903 (1935)
11. E. Braaten and H.-W Hammer, Phys. Rev. A **67** (2003) 042706
12. A. Kievsky and M. Gattobigio, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 052719 (2013)
13. M. Gattobigio, A. Kievsky and M. Viviani, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 042513 (2012)
14. A. Kievsky, N.K. Timofeyuk and M. Gattobigio, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 032504 (2014)
15. C. Ji, E. Braaten, D.R. Phillips and L. Platter, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 030702(R) (2015)
16. A. Kievsky and M. Gattobigio, submitted to Phys. Rev. A
17. V. Efimov, Nuc. Phys. A **362** (1981), 45
18. R. Machleidt and D.R. Entem, Phys. Rep. **503**, 1 (2011)
19. R.A. Malfliet and J.A. Tjon, Nuc. Phys. A**1**27, 161 (1969)
20. P. Bedaque, H.-W. Hammer, and U. van Kolck, Phys. Rev. Lett.**82**, 463 (1999)
21. S.K. Adhikari, A.C. Fonseca and L. Tomio, Phys. Rev. C **26**, 77 (1982)
22. T. Frederico, I.D. Goldman and A. Delfino, Phys. Rev. C **37**, 497 (1988)
23. A. Kievsky, S. Rosati, M. Viviani, L.E. Marcucci, L. Girlanda, J. Phys. G **35**, 063101 (2008)
24. A. Kievsky, M. Viviani, L. Girlanda and L.E. Marcucci, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 044003 (2010)
25. M. Viviani, L. Girlanda, A. Kievsky and L.E. Marcucci, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 172302 (2013)
26. A.C. Phillips, Nucl. Phys. A **107**, 209 (1968)